



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,699	08/25/2003	Kazuhiko Mogi	NIT-392	1547

24956 7590 02/17/2005

MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C.  
1800 DIAGONAL ROAD  
SUITE 370  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER
----------

NGUYEN, THAN VINH

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2187

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

20050215

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Than Nguyen  
Examiner  
Art Unit: 2187

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/646,699	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MOGI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Than Nguyen	<b>Art Unit</b> 2187	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 14, 15, 22 and 23 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 13, 16, 19-21 and 24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 5-12, 17 and 18 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 August 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/25/03</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____.  |

**DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-23 are pending.
2. The IDS, filed 8/25/03, has been considered.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101***

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

*Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.*

4. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Applicant claims a computer-executable program, which is nonstatutory, by itself. In order for his claimed invention to be statutory, the computer program must be on a computer readable medium.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claim 19 recites the limitation "table and index data" in line 1 of claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 16 does not set any precedence for "table and index data".

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

Art Unit: 2187

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 1-4,13,16,19-21,24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mattson et al (US 4,463,424).

As to claim 1,2,13,16:

Mattson teaches the claimed device for setting cache space in a data processing system. Mattson teaches the device comprising: means for acquiring information about process details to be executed by the data management system (system receiving process/command; 7/15-22,5/25-35); means for acquiring information about data mapping from the computer and the storage device (system check mapping/addressing; 5/65-68, 29-33); means for creating planned cache space settings, using the acquired information (system allocating cache; 5/35-40); and means for directing the storage device to activate cache space settings according to the planned cache space settings (system activate/perform allocation; 2/65-3/5; 5/15-6/30).

As to claim 3:

Mattson teaches storage control means which are connected to the computer and the storage device and controls data transfer between both (manages storage; 4/25-30); mapping means for defining mapping to data on the databases in the storage control (system mapping/addressing; 5/55-67); and means for acquiring information about mapping of data on the databases from the mapping means (system check mapping/addressing; 5/65-68, 29-33).

As to claim 4:

Mattson teaches all the means are functions realized in the computer, the storage device or, the storage control means (all functions on computer system; 2/62-67).

As to claim 19:

Art Unit: 2187

9. Mattson teaches a database storing data structure, which comprises data/index/information (2/60-67; 4/40-45).

As to claim 20:

10. Mattson teaches the DBMS manages its own cache and the data cache of the storage device, regarding the caches as a single cache entity (2/65-3/5).

As to claim 21:

11. Mattson issuing a caching request from the DBMS to the storage device to cache data from a storage location within the storage device to the data cache and issuing a write request with the caching request to cache data which has just been written to the cache within the DBMS to the data cache within the storage device (issue read/write cache requests 5/53-67; 7/18-25).

As to claim 24:

Mattson teaches the means for acquiring information acquire data mapping information from the database management system, a file system, and a volume manager included on the computer (2/62-3/34).

***Allowable Subject Matter***

12. Claims 5-12,17,18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

13. As to claim 5, the prior art does not further teach the administrative device includes means for acquiring, as information about the process details to be executed by the data management system, SQL statements to be executed for the process and an expected count of execution of the SQL statements.

Art Unit: 2187

14. Claims 6,9 are also allowable for incorporating the limitations of claim 5, and further limitations.

15. As to claim 7, the prior art does not teach further having means for acquiring information about performance of rerun of processes after abnormal termination of the database management system and information about rerun time settings after abnormal termination of the database management system; and means for creating planned cache space settings for logs which the database management system outputs as the planned cache space settings on the storage device.

16. As to claim 8, the prior art does not teach further having means for further acquiring, as information about the process details to be executed by the data management system, information about size of data to be accessed during the process execution, information about distribution of access to data to be accessed during the process execution, and information about cache space available in the database management system and the storage device; and means for creating planned cache space settings for tables and indexes which are data which the database management system manages as the planned cache space settings on the storage device.

17. As to claim 10, the prior art does not further teach means for acquiring, as information about the process details to be executed by the data management system, the number of expected data pages to access in tables and indexes which are data which the database management system manages during the process.

18. Claims 11-12 are also allowable for incorporating the limitations of claim 10, and further limitations.

19. As to claim 17, the prior art does not teach further determining the data quantity of data pages to store data except leaf nodes for each data structure and allocating space available in the

Art Unit: 2187

cache of the DBMS as much as the data quantity thus determined to each data structure; and determining the data quantity of data pages to store leaf nodes and allocating space available in the data cache of the storage device as much as the data quantity thus determined and space available in the cache of the DBMS by a predetermined ratio to the corresponding data cache space to each data structure.

20. As to claim 18, the prior art does not teach further comprising the steps of: in the data processing system, virtualizing the disk storage units into a plurality of logical disk storage units; dividing the whole data cache space into groups having storage areas in units of the logical disk storage units; identifying a logical disk storage unit to which logs should be stored and a cache group under which the logical disk storage unit falls from mapping information; and directing the storage device to activate the cache group thus identified and cache space settings of storage areas for the cache group.

21. Claims 14,15,22,23 are allowed.

22. As to claim 14, the prior art does not teach the claimed method for managing a data cache in a data processing system. More specifically the prior art does not teach the method comprising the combination of steps of: allocating cache storage areas based on a maximum number of processes that can be executed on a rerun, determining the determining maximum data size of logs to be output by the SQL statements to do UPDATE/INSERT and estimating log size required, based on the log data size per process and the maximum number of processes that can be executed during a rerun.

23. Claim 15 is also allowable for incorporating the limitations of claim 14, and further limitations.



Art Unit: 2187

24. As to claim 22, the prior art does not teach the claimed method for optimum caching management for data cache in a data processing system. More specifically, the prior art does not teach checking statistics about a count of occurrences of wait when accessing data pages of data structures within the DBMS to identifying processes involving access to a data structure for which it has been determined that wait has occurred with high frequency and obtaining the number of expected data pages to access in the data structure; checking for a process which takes long execution time and accessed many times the data structure for which wait has occurred with high frequency among the processes involving access to the data structure and tailoring cache allocations to enhance a cache hit rate of the data to be accessed during the process execution to shorten the execution time of the process.

25. Claim 23 is also allowable for incorporating the limitations of claim 22, and further limitations.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Than Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4198. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-3pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Sparks can be reached on (571)272-4201. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2187

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Than Nguyen  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2187